

## Oil Phase Evaporation-Induced Self-Assembly of Hydrophobic Nanoparticles into Spherical Clusters with Controlled Surface Chemistry in an Oil-in-Water Dispersion and Comparison of Behaviors of Individual and Clustered Iron Oxide Nanoparticles

Penghe Qiu,<sup>†</sup> Christina Jensen,<sup>†</sup> Njoku Charity,<sup>‡</sup> Rheal Towner,<sup>‡</sup> and Chuanbin Mao<sup>\*†</sup>

*Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Oklahoma, Stephenson Life Sciences Research Center, 101 Stephenson Parkway, Norman, Oklahoma 73019, United States, and Advanced Magnetic Resonance Center, Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73104, United States*

Received March 21, 2010; E-mail: cbmao@ou.edu

**Abstract:** We report a general method for preparing nanoparticle clusters (NPCs) in an oil-in-water emulsion system mediated by cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), where previously only individual nanoparticles were obtained. NPCs of magnetic, metallic, and semiconductor nanoparticles have been prepared to demonstrate the generality of the method. The NPCs were spherical and composed of densely packed individual nanoparticles. The number density of nanoparticles in the oil phase was found to be critical for the formation, morphology, and yield of NPCs. The method developed here is scalable and can produce NPCs in nearly 100% yield at a concentration of 5 mg/mL in water, which is approximately 5 times higher than the highest value reported in the literature. The surface chemistry of NPCs can also be controlled by replacing CTAB with polymers containing different functional groups via a similar procedure. The reproducible production of NPCs with well-defined shapes has allowed us to compare the properties of individual and clustered iron oxide nanoparticles, including magnetization, magnetic moments, and contrast enhancement in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). We found that, due to their collective properties, NPCs are more responsive to an external magnetic field and can potentially serve as better contrast enhancement agents than individually dispersed magnetic NPs in MRI.

### Introduction

The self-assembly of nanoparticles into hierarchical 2-D or 3-D structures has been extensively studied for potential applications in various fields.<sup>1–5</sup> The self-assembly behavior can take place both at interfaces and *in situ*. Assembly at the interfaces of liquid–air and liquid–liquid often results in mono- or multilayered nanostructures.<sup>6–9</sup> *In situ* aggregation results in the formation of nanoparticle clusters (NPCs), which are

larger particles containing a finite number of nanoparticles.<sup>10–12</sup> In literature, the *in situ* assembly of nanoparticles has been almost exclusively induced by introducing specifically designed stimulating molecules into the nanoparticles suspension. For example, by adding dodecanethiol into oleylamine-capped gold nanoparticles in chloroform, clusters of gold nanoparticles can be assembled due to their reduced stability after ligand exchange.<sup>10</sup> However, a particular type of stimulus may only be good for specific nanoparticles having certain surface ligands. Here we report a simple and general method of assembling hydrophobic nanoparticles into water-dispersed spherical NPCs. In this method, the formation of NPCs is achieved without adding any stimulating reagents by selective evaporation of the organic phase in an oil-in-water micelle system. The surface chemistry of NPCs can be readily tuned by using different

<sup>†</sup> University of Oklahoma.

<sup>‡</sup> Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation.

- (1) Fan, H. Y.; Yang, K.; Boye, D. M.; Sigmon, T.; Malloy, K. J.; Xu, H. F.; Lopez, G. P.; Brinker, C. J. *Science* **2004**, *304*, 567–571.
- (2) Velev, O. D.; Gupta, S. *Adv. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 1897–1905.
- (3) Le, F.; Brandl, D. W.; Urzhumov, Y. A.; Wang, H.; Kundu, J.; Halas, N. J.; Aizpurua, J.; Nordlander, P. *ACS Nano* **2008**, *2*, 707–718.
- (4) Lu, Y.; Yin, Y. D.; Li, Z. Y.; Xia, Y. A. *Nano Lett.* **2002**, *2*, 785–788.
- (5) Puentes, V. F.; Gorostiza, P.; Aruguete, D. M.; Bastus, N. G.; Alivisatos, A. P. *Nat. Mater.* **2004**, *3*, 263–268.
- (6) Bigioni, T. P.; Lin, X. M.; Nguyen, T. T.; Corwin, E. I.; Witten, T. A.; Jaeger, H. M. *Nat. Mater.* **2006**, *5*, 265–270.
- (7) Duan, H. W.; Wang, D. Y.; Kurth, D. G.; Mohwald, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 5639–5642.
- (8) Lin, Y.; Skaff, H.; Emrick, T.; Dinsmore, A. D.; Russell, T. P. *Science* **2003**, *299*, 226–229.

- (9) Russell, J. T.; Lin, Y.; Boker, A.; Su, L.; Carl, P.; Zettl, H.; He, J. B.; Sill, K.; Tangirala, R.; Emrick, T.; Littrell, K.; Thiyagarajan, P.; Cookson, D.; Fery, A.; Wang, Q.; Russell, T. P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 2420–2426.
- (10) Compton, O. C.; Osterloh, F. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 7793–7798.
- (11) Berret, J. F.; Schonbeck, N.; Gazeau, F.; El Kharrat, D.; Sandre, O.; Vacher, A.; Airiau, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 1755–1761.
- (12) Boal, A. K.; Ilhan, F.; DeRouchey, J. E.; Thurn-Albrecht, T.; Russell, T. P.; Rotello, V. M. *Nature* **2000**, *404*, 746–748.

polymers. The method used here can also be extended to make NPCs containing multiple types of nanoparticles.

Cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) is a well-known surfactant and has been widely used in nanoscience. CTAB can aid in the synthesis of many types of nanoparticles with controlled morphologies.<sup>13–17</sup> One important application in nanoscience is its use as a phase-transfer reagent. Many types of nanoparticles have been transferred from the organic phase to the aqueous phase with the assistance of CTAB.<sup>1,18–22</sup> However, in all of these works, only individually dispersed nanoparticles could be obtained after the phase-transfer process, and no cluster structure has so far been reported under similar CTAB concentrations. In this work, we found that if the concentration of nanoparticles is increased to a certain level within CTAB micelles, the NPCs can be formed via evaporation of the organic phase during the phase-transfer process.

NPCs exhibit collective properties which individual nanoparticles do not have. Clusters of metallic nanoparticles that are rich in surface plasmon, such as gold and silver, can create Raman hotspots between the closely spaced particles due to local electromagnetic coupling.<sup>23,24</sup> Such an effect results in an enhancement of Raman signals from analytes by orders of magnitude.<sup>24–27</sup> Unlike single superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles, which exhibit low magnetization and are thus difficult to manipulate with a magnet, NPCs have a much higher response to magnetic fields and are therefore better suited for drug delivery and separation.<sup>28</sup> In addition, clusters of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles have shown improved contrast enhancement compared to individual nanoparticles in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).<sup>11,29–32</sup> Various methods have been employed for synthesizing iron oxide NPCs for MRI

applications. Generally, these methods fall into two categories. One type involves block copolymers, either bihydrophilic or amphiphilic.<sup>30,33,34</sup> The other category utilizes simultaneous cluster formation with a silica coating process.<sup>11,31,32</sup> In most of these reported works, a relatively low concentration of iron oxide nanoparticles was used for cluster formation, which may prevent their scalable production. The micelle system we have selected in this current work can result in a final NPCs concentration of 5 mg/mL in an aqueous phase, which is approximately 5 times higher than the highest reported concentrations from previous works.<sup>29,31,32</sup> In addition, the density of iron oxide nanoparticles inside the cluster is much higher than that reported in the literature.

## Experimental Methods

**Synthesis of Oil-Dispersed Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, CdS, and Au Nanoparticles.** Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles were synthesized and purified following the procedure reported by Sun et al.<sup>35</sup> Nanoparticles of 3 and 6 nm in diameter could be obtained directly by controlling the solvent and reaction temperature. Larger Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles (12 and 15 nm in diameter) were produced by seeded growth based on the 6 nm nanoparticles. Nanoparticles derived from 2 mmol of iron acetylacetonate were redispersed into hexane at a concentration of 20 mg/mL, where the concentration of the nanoparticles used for NPCs formation could be diluted or reconcentrated from the stock solution.

CdS nanoparticles were synthesized following the method reported in the literature with slight modifications.<sup>36</sup> A mixture of cadmium chloride (91.66 mg, 0.5 mM) and oleylamine (5 mL) was heated to 150 °C under N<sub>2</sub> flow. When CdCl<sub>2</sub> was completely dissolved (in about 20 min), 0.5 mM elemental sulfur dissolved in 2.5 mL of oleylamine was quickly added into the hot solution. The reaction was maintained at 150 °C for an additional 8 h. Next, it was quenched by quickly adding 15 mL of toluene. Nanoparticles were purified by washing three times with ethanol. Finally, they were suspended into 2 mL of hexane and used for NPCs formation.


Au nanoparticles were synthesized by mixing 100 μL of 0.1 M HAuCl<sub>4</sub> with 5 mL of oleylamine and heated at 150 °C for about 25 min. The nanoparticles were then washed twice with ethanol and finally dispersed into 0.2 mL of hexane.

**Synthesis of NPCs Using CTAB as an Emulsifier.** A small volume of hexane-dispersed nanoparticles (200 μL, concentration varies from 1 to 100 mg/mL) was added to 4 mL of 0.1 M CTAB aqueous solution. All of the liquid was then gently mixed by hand-shaking, followed by sonication for 2 min to form a stable micelle suspension. Afterward, the mixture was heated in an 80 °C water bath and stirred at 500 rpm for 5 min so that the majority of the hexane was evaporated. Alternatively, hexane evaporation can be done by stirring under ambient conditions for several hours. The solution was then removed from the heat and stirred under a vacuum for 30 min to completely remove the hexane. In the preparation of Au-CdS hybrid NPCs, 50 μL of AuNPs was mixed with 150 μL of CdS nanoparticles and sonicated before being added to the CTAB solution.

**Synthesis of NPCs Using Polymers as Stabilizers.** A volume of 200 μL of hexane-dispersed iron oxide nanoparticles (2 mg/mL) was added to 4 mL of 12 wt % polymer solution. The mixture was then sonicated continuously for 2 h. The water bath of the sonicator was initially set at 40 °C; however, the temperature had

- (13) Sau, T. K.; Murphy, C. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 8648–8649.
- (14) Nehl, C. L.; Liao, H. W.; Hafner, J. H. *Nano Lett.* **2006**, *6*, 683–688.
- (15) Murphy, C. J.; Gole, A. M.; Hunyadi, S. E.; Orendorff, C. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 7544–7554.
- (16) Murphy, C. J.; San, T. K.; Gole, A. M.; Orendorff, C. J.; Gao, J. X.; Gou, L.; Hunyadi, S. E.; Li, T. J. *Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109*, 13857–13870.
- (17) Cushing, B. L.; Kolesnichenko, V. L.; O'Connor, C. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 3893–3946.
- (18) Swami, A.; Kumar, A.; Sastry, M. *Langmuir* **2003**, *19*, 1168–1172.
- (19) Pazos-Perez, N.; Gao, Y.; Hilgendorff, M.; Irsen, S.; Perez-Juste, J.; Spasova, M.; Farle, M.; Liz-Marzan, L. M.; Giersig, M. *Chem. Mater.* **2007**, *19*, 4415–4422.
- (20) Yan, Q.; Purkayastha, A.; Singh, A. P.; Li, H.; Li, A.; Ramanujan, R. V.; Ramanath, G. *Nanotechnology* **2009**, *20*, –.
- (21) Fan, H. Y.; Wright, A.; Gabaldon, J.; Rodriguez, A.; Brinker, C. J.; Jiang, Y. B. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2006**, *16*, 891–895.
- (22) Kim, J.; Kim, H. S.; Lee, N.; Kim, T.; Kim, H.; Yu, T.; Song, I. C.; Moon, W. K.; Hyeon, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 8438–8441.
- (23) Moskovits, M. *J. Raman Spectrosc.* **2005**, *36*, 485–496.
- (24) Li, W. Y.; Camargo, P. H. C.; Au, L.; Zhang, Q.; Rycenga, M.; Xia, Y. N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 164–168.
- (25) Yan, B.; Thubagere, A.; Premasiri, W. R.; Ziegler, L. D.; Dal Negro, L.; Reinhard, B. M. *ACS Nano* **2009**, *3*, 1190–1202.
- (26) Alexander, K. D.; Hampton, M. J.; Zhang, S. P.; Dhanan, A.; Xu, H. X.; Lopez, R. *J. Raman Spectrosc.* **2009**, *40*, 2171–2175.
- (27) Chen, G.; Wang, Y.; Yang, M. X.; Xu, J.; Goh, S. J.; Pan, M.; Chen, H. Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 3644.
- (28) Ge, J. P.; Hu, Y. X.; Biasini, M.; Beyersmann, W. P.; Yin, Y. D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 4342–4345.
- (29) Ai, H.; Flask, C.; Weinberg, B.; Shuai, X.; Pagel, M. D.; Farrell, D.; Duerk, J.; Gao, J. M. *Adv. Mater.* **2005**, *17*, 1949.
- (30) Kim, J.; Lee, J. E.; Lee, S. H.; Yu, J. H.; Lee, J. H.; Park, T. G.; Hyeon, T. *Adv. Mater.* **2008**, *20*, 478.
- (31) Niu, D. C.; Li, Y. S.; Ma, Z.; Diao, H.; Gu, J. L.; Chen, H. R.; Zhao, W. R.; Ruan, M. L.; Zhang, Y. L.; Shi, J. L. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2010**, *20*, 773–780.
- (32) Happy, T.; Jun Min, X.; Borys, S.; Xu, L.; John, W. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2010**, *20*, 722–731.

- (33) Ditsch, A.; Laibinis, P. E.; Wang, D. I. C.; Hatton, T. A. *Langmuir* **2005**, *21*, 6006–6018.
- (34) Euliss, L. E.; Grancharov, S. G.; O'Brien, S.; Deming, T. J.; Stucky, G. D.; Murray, C. B.; Held, G. A. *Nano Lett.* **2003**, *3*, 1489–1493.
- (35) Sun, S. H.; Zeng, H.; Robinson, D. B.; Raoux, S.; Rice, P. M.; Wang, S. X.; Li, G. X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 273–279.
- (36) Joo, J.; Na, H. B.; Yu, T.; Yu, J. H.; Kim, Y. W.; Wu, F. X.; Zhang, J. Z.; Hyeon, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 11100–11105.

**Scheme 1.** Schematic Diagram of the Formation of NPCs

increased to around 70 °C after 1 h due to the heating effect of ultrasound. After sonication, the solution was also treated by vacuum for 30 min. The molecular weights of the polymers used are as follows: poly(acrylic acid) (PAA), 15k, sodium salt, 35 wt %; polyethyleneimine (PEI), 25k, branched; and poly(styrene-sulfonate) (PSS), 70k.

**Silica Coating of CTAB-Stabilized Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPCs.** The process of coating NPCs with silica was a modification of the method described in the literature.<sup>37</sup> Generally, the as-prepared CTAB-covered NPCs (1 mL) were centrifuged at 15 000 rpm for 20 min and redispersed into 4 mL of 0.02 M CTAB aqueous solution. The pH of the solution was adjusted to around 11 by 0.1 M NaOH. Finally, tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS, 20 μL) was added, and the resultant solution was stirred for 24 h for complete hydrolysis and condensation of TEOS.

**Preparation of Aqueous, Individually Dispersed NPs.** The hexane-dispersed 6 nm iron oxide NPs were resuspended into toluene at a concentration of 10 mg/mL. In a three-neck flask, 500 mg of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, 10k) was dissolved into 8 mL of DMSO, followed by addition of 2 mL of toluene-dispersed NPs. A homogeneous clear solution could then be obtained. This solution was then heated, under N<sub>2</sub> flow, to 189 °C at a temperature increase rate of about 4 °C/min. The temperature was maintained at 189 °C for another 30 min and then cooled to room temperature. The resulting transparent solution was then dialyzed against water (cutoff of the dialysis membrane, 5 kDa) for 24 h, after which aqueous individually dispersed, PVP-stabilized iron oxide NPs could be obtained. Silica-coated individual NPs were prepared by NH<sub>4</sub>OH-catalyzed hydrolysis of TEOS in an ethanol/water mixed solvent (4:1 v/v).

**Characterization.** The size and morphology of as-prepared NPCs were characterized by using a transmission electron microscope (TEM). All the TEM images were obtained on a JEOL 2000FX microscope, operated at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The surface morphology of NPCs was examined on a Nano-R2 atomic force microscope (AFM) by Pacific Nanotechnology. The magnetic properties of individual and clustered NPs were measured on a Quantum Designs MPMS2 cryogenic susceptometer. The T2-weighted MR images were obtained on a Bruker Biospec 7.0 T, 30 cm horizontal-bore imaging spectrometer.

## Results and Discussion

**Synthesis of NPCs in CTAB Emulsion.** The experimental design is shown in Scheme 1. The idea to make NPCs was inspired by the concept of an emulsion, in which two immiscible liquids can coexist as a stable dispersion under the assistance


of an emulsifier or surfactant in the form of micelles, either oil-in-water (used in this work) or water-in-oil. Oil will appear as tiny droplets suspended in bulk water. In an oil-in-water emulsion, the surfactant molecules (CTAB in this work) will line up at the oil/water interface to reduce the surface tension of the tiny oil droplets, which would otherwise assemble themselves into large drops.<sup>38</sup> If there are NPs initially dispersed in the bulk oil phase, they will remain inside the oil droplet after emulsification due to their hydrophobic nature. Due to its low boiling point, the oil phase can be removed by evaporation, leading to the condensation of NPs inside the micelles. When oil is completely evaporated, NPs inside each micelle will form a larger cluster. However, the NPs within the cluster will not be fused because the long carbon chains on the NPs surface give rise to a steric barrier that effectively leaves each particle isolated.<sup>6</sup> The surface of the resultant clusters is fully covered by surfactant molecules, with the charged head groups in direct contact with water and the tails interacting with the long carbon chains of NPs in the outer layer (this statement will be validated by the water compatibility and surface charge of NPCs in the next paragraph), similar to the interaction involved when using surfactant to transfer hydrophobic nanoparticles into individual dispersions in water.<sup>22</sup> In this manner, the NPCs are prevented from aggregation and precipitation in the same way as stabilization of individual NPs occurs in aqueous solution.

NPCs made from Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs with initial individual particle sizes of 3, 6, and 12 nm, respectively, are shown in Figure 1 (also see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information for a larger view). The morphology of NPCs was studied by AFM. As can be seen from the TEM images in Figure 1, the individual NPs could be differentiated clearly inside the clusters, and no fusion is observed in any of the three different sized NPs. The line profile obtained from AFM contains the height information which has been widely used to study the surface morphology of materials. We collected line profiles across two isolated clusters as well as four contacting clusters in a row. In both cases, smooth curves typical for spherical particles were observed (Figure 1, right). The NPCs obtained in the CTAB-mediated emulsion could be suspended very stably in water, and no aggregation between NPCs was observed once they were centrifuged and resuspended into water. Considering the hydrophobic nature of NPCs, their high water compatibility should originate from the surface protection of the CTAB molecules, which is the only hydrophilic species in the solution. Moreover, the NPCs have a zeta potential of +49 mV, which provides further evidence that the NPCs are stabilized by CTAB, the only positively charged species in the solution. It should be pointed out that, although previous micelles created by diblock copolymers were used to make NPCs, the structure reported here is much more closely packed and, thus, has a much higher nanoparticle density.


The number density of NPs in the organic phase, which is defined as the number of nanoparticles in a unit volume of solution, is very important for the formation of NPCs as well as the morphology of clusters. For iron oxide NPs with 6 nm diameter, the NPCs could hardly be seen at a concentration of 1 mg/mL. When the concentration was increased to 4 mg/mL, about 80% of the NPs, which was estimated from the darkness of the supernatant after centrifuge, were in the form of clusters. Morphologically, however, the clusters contained both spheres

(37) Gorelikov, I.; Matsuura, N. *Nano Lett.* **2008**, *8*, 369–373.

(38) Rosen, M. J. *Surfactants and Interfacial Phenomena*; Wiley: New York, 2004.




**Figure 1.** (Left) TEM images of low and high magnification of iron oxide NPCs using CTAB as an emulsifier. The initial sizes of nanoparticles in the organic phase were (A,B) 3, (C,D) 6, and (E,F) 12 nm, respectively. Scale bars: (A,C) 150, (B,D) 20, (E) 300, and (F) 40 nm. See Supporting Information Figure S1 for a larger view. (Right) Top, AFM image of NPCs made from 6 nm iron oxide NPs; bottom, extracted height information from two isolated clusters (line 1) and four contacting clusters in a row (line 2). The TEM images along with AFM characterization indicate that the NPCs were spheres composed of densely packed individual nanoparticles.



**Figure 2.** Dependence of NPCs yield and morphology on the number density of NPs dispersed in the oil phase. (A) NPCs made from 6 nm NPs at 4 mg/mL: more than 80% were clusters; however, nonspherical assembly was also observed. (B) NPCs made from 6 nm iron oxide NPs at 20 mg/mL: all spherical with more than 98% yield. (C) NPCs made from 15 nm NPs at 20 mg/mL (which is calculated to have about 16 times lower number density than that of 6 nm NPs): NPCs were produced at a very low yield with many individual NPs. Scale bars: 100 nm.

and large, film-like multilayered structures (Figure 2A). At the concentration of 20 mg/mL, more than 98% of the NPs existed as spherical clusters after the phase-transfer process (Figure 2B). In contrast, for 20 mg/mL of 15 nm NPs, where the number density was calculated to be 16 times less than that of 6 nm NPs under the same mass concentration, only a small portion was seen to be clusters (Figure 2C). Therefore, in order to form NPCs during the phase transfer, the number density of NPs in the oil phase has to reach a certain high level which is dependent on the size of the NPs. However, in previously reported works where CTAB was used as the phase-transfer reagent, relatively low concentrations of hydrophobic NPs were used. This provides an explanation as to why no clusters of NPs were obtained previously, even though the experiments were carried out through a method similar to the one used in this work.

**Size Selection, Scalable Production, and Silica Coating of NPCs.** The resultant spherical NPCs obtained through selective phase evaporation were widely distributed from several nanometers up to 150 nm in diameter. However, the size distribution of NPCs can be narrowed by using differential centrifugation in a pure water medium. Clusters assembled from 3 nm  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  NPs are used here as a demonstration. In the first centrifugation run at 10 000 rpm for 5 min, NPCs larger than 50 nm could generally be separated (Figure 3A,B), and the average diameter of this fraction was 78 nm. Smaller clusters could then be spun down from the supernatant of the first centrifugation run at 15 000 rpm for 20 min, resulting in a fraction of NPCs with an average diameter of 33 nm (Figure 3C,D). A very small portion of even smaller NPCs remained in the supernatant and could not be spun down. The size of nanoparticles has been one of the greater concerns for *in vivo* applications. The exclusion and



**Figure 3.** Size selection of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  NPCs, made from 3 nm NPs, by differential centrifugation. (A,B) TEM images of larger NPCs that were collected in the first centrifuge run at 10000 rpm for 5 min. (C,D) TEM images of smaller NPCs that were spun down in the second centrifuge run at 15000 rpm for 20 min.

capture of nanoparticles by organs have a great impact on their circulation in the blood. Nanoparticles smaller than 10 nm will be filtered by the kidneys. In addition, they will be captured by the liver if they are larger than 100 nm. Thus, the optimum nanoparticle size is 10–100 nm for *in vivo* applications.<sup>39–41</sup> The NPCs should be suitable for *in vivo* applications after the size selection procedures. We believe that the size distribution of NPCs could be further narrowed down by using a density gradient centrifugation.<sup>42,43</sup>

Mass production of hierarchical nanostructures of interest has always been a challenge in nanoparticles assembly. Most often, the assembly suffers from either low yields or poor reproducibility. In this work, the potential for a scalable production of NPCs via the CTAB-based emulsion method was investigated. We kept the volume ratio of hexane to water at a constant value of 0.2 mL over 4 mL but changed the concentration of nanoparticles in the oil phase. Several different concentrations (between 20 and 100 mg/mL) of 3 nm iron oxide NPs were tried. In all of these trials, NPCs were produced in a nearly 100% yield, indicated by the supernatants being almost colorless after centrifugation at 15 000 rpm. A TEM study showed that all of the clusters were composed of closely packed nanoparticles, with no coagulation observed. Also, there was not a significant increase of cluster size, even at a higher initial concentrations. When the total volume was expanded by 10

times (100 mg/mL iron oxide NPs in 2 mL of hexane and 40 mL of water), we generally did not observe any remarkable difference in the NPC size distribution and morphology compared to clusters formed in the 4 mL water system. It should be noted that, in current literature, relatively low concentrations of iron oxide NPs were used to synthesize NPCs. Mostly, the initial concentration of NPs in the organic phase was below 10 mg/mL, while the final concentration of NPCs in the aqueous phase was less than 1 mg/mL.<sup>32</sup> The initial and final concentrations can reach up to 100 and 5 mg/mL, respectively, in the current work, which makes it more promising for the scalable production of NPCs.

The as-prepared NPCs were very stable for further surface modification. Polyelectrolyte wrapping through electrostatic interactions has been one method for manipulating the surface charge of nanoparticles.<sup>44,45</sup> The CTAB-capped NPCs can be wrapped with multiple layers of polyelectrolytes without destroying their cluster structure. Moreover, silica can be coated uniformly around the NPCs in a basic solution (Supporting Information Figure S2). The NPCs/silica core/shell structure enables greater functionality on the particles by the well-developed silica modification chemistry.<sup>46,47</sup> For example, fluorescent dyes have been linked onto silica through covalent bonding between amine groups from 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES) and isothiocyanate or succinimidyl ester groups

(39) Alexis, F.; Pridgen, E.; Molnar, L. K.; Farokhzad, O. C. *Mol. Pharm.* **2008**, *5*, 505–515.

(40) Caliceti, P.; Veronese, F. M. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* **2003**, *55*, 1261–1277.

(41) Gullotti, E.; Yeo, Y. *Mol. Pharm.* **2009**, *6*, 1041–1051.

(42) Chen, G.; Wang, Y.; Tan, L. H.; Yang, M. X.; Tan, L. S.; Chen, Y.; Chen, H. Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 4218.


(43) Sun, X. M.; Tabakman, S. M.; Seo, W. S.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, G. Y.; Sherlock, S.; Bai, L.; Dai, H. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 939–942.

(44) Pastoriza-Santos, I.; Perez-Juste, J.; Liz-Marzan, L. M. *Chem. Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 2465–2467.

(45) Gole, A.; Murphy, C. J. *Chem. Mater.* **2005**, *17*, 1325–1330.

(46) Santra, S.; Yang, H.; Dutta, D.; Stanley, J. T.; Holloway, P. H.; Tan, W. H.; Moudgil, B. M.; Mericle, R. A. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 2810–2811.

(47) Hulchanskyy, T. Y.; Roy, I.; Goswami, L. N.; Chen, Y.; Bergey, E. J.; Pandey, R. K.; Oseroff, A. R.; Prasad, P. N. *Nano Lett.* **2007**, *7*, 2835–2842.



**Figure 4.** Generality of the micelle-phase evaporation method in making NPCs of various materials: (A) NPCs of 3 nm CdS (also see Supporting Information Figure S3 for size selection and fluorescent image); (B) NPCs of 10 nm Au (also see Supporting Information Figure S4 for optical shift and larger view); (C) hybrid NPCs of 10 nm Au (dark spots) and 3 nm CdS (self-assembled to form the gray area). Scale bars: 100 nm.

on the dyes; the dye–silica–magnetic NP complex particles can be used as dual probes for both fluorescent imaging and MRI.<sup>48,49</sup>

**Generality of the Method.** The method we developed here can readily be applied to produce NPCs of varying materials from their hydrophobic nanoparticles. As representatives of semiconductive and metallic materials, CdS and gold NPCs are prepared in CTAB micelles through the same procedures as those used to make NPCs of magnetic particles. In order to explore the potential of preparing multiple-component particles by our method, hydrophobic CdS and Au NPs were first mixed together in hexane, followed by selective phase evaporation in CTAB micelles. In all three types of NPCs, a spherical morphology and dense packing of NPs, similar to those of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPCs, were observed (Figure 4). The fluorescent property of CdS nanoparticles was retained after the formation of clusters. The size distribution of CdS NPCs could also be narrowed by differential centrifugation (Supporting Information Figure S3). The absorption spectrum of gold NPCs was red-shifted compared to that of the individually dispersed AuNPs (Supporting Information Figure S4). For CdS and many other semiconductor nanocrystals, size-dependent fluorescence due to a quantum confinement effect can be observed only when the size of the particles is smaller than the Bohr radius<sup>50</sup> (e.g., below 10 nm for commonly used CdSe nanocrystals). However, *in vivo*

imaging and diagnostics prefers NPs of larger sizes (10–100 nm).<sup>39,41</sup> For instance, blood vessels in tumor tissue are leakier and have larger pores than those in normal tissue. A larger particle can be selectively delivered to tumor tissue for therapeutic or diagnostic purposes due to enhanced permeability and retention effect.<sup>41</sup> Therefore, it is difficult to obtain semiconductor NPs having both fluorescence and a desired size for *in vivo* applications simultaneously. NPC structure provides a means for increasing the particle size while still retaining the fluorescence capability that only belongs to smaller nanoparticles. Forming NPCs from NPs could potentially be a better solution than surface modifications of NPs, which only slightly increases the particle size, as a means for solving the size–fluorescence paradox of semiconductor nanocrystals. The red-shift in the absorption spectrum of Au NPCs is contributed by the surface plasmon coupling of adjacent NPs inside the clusters. Such a coupling effect can be used for enhancing the signal intensity of analytes in surface-enhanced Raman scattering detection. The composite clusters that contain more than one type of nanoparticles have more functionalities and thus can be used for multiple purposes. For example, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and CdSe nanoparticles were successfully embedded into a silica particle, which makes it possess both magnetic and fluorescent properties that could be potentially employed in MRI and fluorescent imaging.<sup>51,52</sup>

**Control of Surface Chemistry of NPCs.** In practice, especially for biological applications, NPs often require further modifications with functional molecules. For example, in targeted delivery, the drug molecules will be immobilized onto the NPs surface.<sup>53</sup> Typically, two strategies can be employed for the immobilization of desired molecules onto NPs: electrostatic interactions between two oppositely charged species and chemical bonding (covalent conjugation with surface functional groups, like –NH<sub>2</sub> and –COOH).<sup>53</sup> Both methods require sophisticated control over the surface chemistry of NPs. For this reason, it is always interesting to prepare NPs with controllable surface charge and functional groups. Here, we demonstrate our ability to manipulate the surface chemistry of NPCs by employing functional polymers, including PEI, PAA, and PSS, instead of CTAB as surface-capping reagents. Morphologically, the polymer-stabilized NPCs were similar to those obtained from CTAB emulsion (Supporting Information Figures S5–S7, for clusters made from 6 nm NPs). The PEI-stabilized NPCs showed positive charges, while NPCs covered by PAA and PSS exhibited negative charges, as indicated by the zeta potential measurement. In addition, amine (from PEI) or carboxylic acid (from PAA) groups were also present on the surface of the NPCs. The size of polymer-stabilized NPCs could also be regulated by differential centrifugation (Table 1). In the CTAB micelles, small NPCs (below 100 nm) can be prepared even at an initial NPs concentration of 100 mg/mL. In the polymers solution, however, small NPCs could only be produced when the initial NPs concentration was much lower (2 mg/mL as used in the experiment). At a higher NPs concentration (20 mg/mL), most of the NPCs were greater than 500 nm in diameter, which makes them unsuitable for biological applications. These polymers are not emulsifiers, so no stable oil-in-

(48) Lu, C. W.; Hung, Y.; Hsiao, J. K.; Yao, M.; Chung, T. H.; Lin, Y. S.; Wu, S. H.; Hsu, S. C.; Liu, H. M.; Mou, C. Y.; Yang, C. S.; Huang, D. M.; Chen, Y. C. *Nano Lett.* **2007**, *7*, 149–154.  
 (49) Lee, J. E.; Lee, N.; Kim, H.; Kim, J.; Choi, S. H.; Kim, J. H.; Kim, T.; Song, I. C.; Park, S. P.; Moon, W. K.; Hyeon, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 552–557.

(50) Alivisatos, A. P. *Science* **1996**, *271*, 933–937.

(51) Selvan, S. T.; Patra, P. K.; Ang, C. Y.; Ying, J. Y. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 2448–2452.


(52) Yi, D. K.; Selvan, S. T.; Lee, S. S.; Papaefthymiou, G. C.; Kundaliya, D.; Ying, J. Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 4990–4991.

(53) Ghosh, P.; Han, G.; De, M.; Kim, C. K.; Rotello, V. M. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* **2008**, *60*, 1307–1315.

**Table 1.** Surface Charge Density and Average Diameter of NPCs Using Different Stabilizers<sup>a</sup>

stabilizer	zeta potential (mV)	average diameter (nm)	
		first <sup>b</sup>	secondary <sup>b</sup>
CTAB	+49	78	33
PEI	+33	88	42
PAA	-56	72	30
PSS	-64	65	28


<sup>a</sup> The NPCs were made from 3 nm hydrophobic iron oxide nanoparticles in hexane. <sup>b</sup> First, first centrifuge run at 10 000 rpm for 5 min; second, second run at 15 000 rpm for 20 min.



**Figure 5.** Comparison between NPCs and individually dispersed iron oxide NPs of their response to an external magnetic field. (A) TEM image of individually dispersed 6 nm iron oxide NPs that had been transferred from oil to water. The original hydrophobic NPs were from the same batch from which the NPCs were made. (B) Photos showing that no visible movement of individual NPs was observed in 12 h, indicating a very weak magnetic moment of individual NPs. (C) Photos showing that all the NPCs were attracted to the magnet in just a few minutes, indicating a strong magnetic moment resulting from the collective effect of nanoparticles in NPCs.

water dispersion can be formed. Therefore, it was necessary to place the mixture solution under sonication throughout the entire experiment in order to prevent the coagulation of oil droplets. However, in terms of stabilization of NPCs, the polymers behave similar to the CTAB. Their hydrophobic backbone can interact with long carbon chains on the NPCs, and their hydrophilic side groups can provide compatibility with the aqueous phase.<sup>54,55</sup> The controllable surface chemistry of NPCs makes them capable of linking molecules through both electrostatic interaction and covalent bonding.

**Comparison of Behaviors of Individual and Clustered Iron Oxide NPs.** In order to make comparisons between NPs in both individual and clustered forms, hydrophobic iron oxide NPs (i.e., those used for preparing clusters) were transferred into water as individually dispersed particles (Figure 5A; also see Supporting Information Figure S8 for silica-coated individual iron



**Figure 6.** Magnetization curves of NPCs and individual iron oxide NPs. (Top) Mass magnetization as a function of field strength measured at 300 and 5 K. At 300 K, both NPCs and individual NPs showed superparamagnetic behavior. Typical hysteresis loops were observed at 5 K, and NPCs exhibited coercivity very close to the individual NPs, probably because of the coexistence of anisotropic and random assembly of NPs during the cluster formation process. (Bottom) ZFC/FC measured at 50 K. The blocking temperature had shifted from 111 to 181 K after cluster formation, which indicates that strong dipole–dipole interactions had taken place among nanoparticles inside the clusters.

oxide NPs as a more direct evidence). Their magnetic response to an external field was measured at 5 and 300 K, sweeping between  $-10$  and  $10$  kOe. Both types of particles showed superparamagnetic behavior at 300 K without coercivity. At 5 K, a typical ferromagnetic-like hysteresis loop was observed (Figure 6, top). The NPCs and individual NPs had very close coercivity (31 vs 27 Oe). In the zero-field-cooled/field-cooled (ZFC/FC) magnetization measurements, the blocking temperature significantly increased from 111 K (corresponding to individual NPs) to 181 K after the cluster formation (Figure 6, bottom). It is well accepted that, for assembled nanoparticulate structures, magnetic dipole–dipole interactions are much stronger than those of individual nanoparticles, as a result of the distance-dependent nature of such interactions.<sup>56</sup> The anisotropy coupling of dipoles, such as nanoparticles densely packed in 1-D pores, results in a ferromagnetic effect which strongly enhances the coercivity.<sup>57</sup> However, nonoriented dipole–dipole interactions, as in most random nanoparticle aggregates, have been demonstrated both experimentally and theoretically to result in decreased coercivity because of the antiferromagnetic coupling effect.<sup>57,58</sup> In our work, neither a significant increase nor decrease of coercivity of NPCs as compared to individual NPs was observed. This is likely due to the presence of both

(54) Guven, O.; Yigit, F. *Colloid Polym. Sci.* **1984**, *262*, 892–895.

(55) Qiu, P. H.; Mao, C. B. *ACS Nano* **2010**, *4*, 1573–1579.


(56) Buschow, K. H. J. *Handbook of Magnetic Materials*; Elsevier Science: Amsterdam, 2006; Vol. 16.

(57) Gross, A. F.; Diehl, M. R.; Beverly, K. C.; Richman, E. K.; Tolbert, S. H. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2003**, *107*, 5475–5482.

(58) Lu, J. J.; Huang, H. L. *Chin. J. Phys.* **2000**, *38*, 81–94.

anisotropic and random dipole–dipole interactions within the clusters, which makes NPCs behave like a non-interacting system. During the formation of NPCs, the kinetically fast condensation due to the quick evaporation of hexane inside the micelles as well as the high thermal energy originating from the high experimental temperature could inevitably cause random assembly. On the other hand, since the liquid was homogenized under magnetic stirring through the entire process, the NPs were magnetized, which could have introduced some anisotropy to the assembly through the partial alignment of magnetic moments of NPs.<sup>59–61</sup> Thus, the sum of the negative contributions from the random assembly and positive contributions from anisotropic assembly within the NPCs may result in a magnetization curve similar to that of non-interacting, individually dispersed NPs. The blocking temperature shifting effect in the ZFC/FC measurement is consistent with the results reported in the literature, which show that interparticle magnetic coupling can suppress the thermal fluctuation of magnetic spins and raise the ferromagnetic-to-superparamagnetic transition to a higher temperature.<sup>57,62–64</sup>

The single cluster has a much higher magnetic moment than individual iron oxide NPs, which was theoretically predicated earlier by Ge et al.<sup>28</sup> In this work, since we are able to transfer the hydrophobic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs into stable aqueous dispersions in both clustered and individual forms, direct comparison of the magnetization difference between the two forms (and hence their response to an external field) could be made more straightforward. Both Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPCs and individually dispersed NPs solutions were placed beside a magnet, and observations were made periodically. For individually dispersed iron oxide NPs, we did not observe any visible movement of NPs toward the magnet in 12 h (Figure 5B). However, all the NPCs could be collected by the magnet within just a few minutes (Figure 5C). In order to be able to manipulate the movement of particles in solution by a magnet, the magnetic energy on the particle should be larger than the thermal energy, which is the cause of Brownian motion.<sup>56</sup> This behavior for individually dispersed Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs in an external magnetic field is consistent with the theoretical result that the magnetic moment of single NPs is so weak that it could not even overcome the random Brownian motion in solution. Since a cluster is made of many individual NPs, the magnetic moment is a collective result of the individuals; therefore, the magnetic force on a cluster is increased many times more than that on nonclustered NPs.<sup>28</sup> Consequently, there will be a net positive magnetic force driving the clusters toward the magnet.<sup>56</sup> From this comparison, NPCs could be better suited for applications like magnetic-field-assisted drug delivery and molecular separation compared to individual NPs. In these applications, target molecules are often linked onto the particle surface and transported or collected by the movement of magnetic particles in the external field.<sup>61,65–69</sup>



**Figure 7.** MRI images of NPCs and individual NPs with different concentrations in water. The darker contrast shown at a lower concentration for NPCs indicates that, in the clustered form, a lower concentration of Fe is needed to obtain a desired contrast.

Superparamagnetic iron oxide NPs are well-known for being able to shorten the transverse relaxation time of water protons and have been used as a negative contrast agent in MRI.<sup>69</sup> Recently, aggregated or assembled iron oxide NPCs are drawing greater attention in MRI applications because they can provide even higher contrast than individually dispersed magnetic NPs.<sup>29–33,49</sup> In order to test the contrast enhancement effect from the clustering of NPs, we measured the T<sub>2</sub>-weighted MR images with different iron concentrations in aqueous dispersion. Both individual and clustered particles exhibited a sensitive, concentration-dependent darkness in MR images, where the higher the concentration, the darker the image was. However, under the same iron concentration, darker contrast was observed with NPCs (Figure 7). Moreover, the clustered nanoparticles showed a transverse relaxivity ( $r_2$ ) value of 277.6 s<sup>-1</sup> mM<sup>-1</sup> Fe, which is remarkably higher than that of individual NPs (15.4 s<sup>-1</sup> mM<sup>-1</sup> Fe). This suggests that NPCs could likely be used as a better contrast enhancer in T<sub>2</sub>-weighted MR imaging than individual magnetic NPs.

In summary, a general method for making NPCs was developed in a CTAB-mediated oil-in-water emulsion system, where previously only individual NPs were obtained, by increasing the concentration of NPs dispersed in the organic phase. Although NPCs have a wide range of diameters, differential centrifugation can be used to narrow their size distribution. The final concentration of NPCs produced by our method is about 5 times higher than the highest value reported in the literature. Moreover, NPCs can be obtained in nearly 100% yield. Therefore, our method for producing NPCs is scalable. The surface chemistry of NPCs can be manipulated by employing different polymers rather than CTAB, to stabilize them under constant sonication. Iron oxide NPCs have a much higher magnetic moment than individual NPs, making them better suited for separation and drug delivery purposes. In MRI, a significant increase in transverse relaxivity from NPCs also indicates that a lower concentration of iron can be used to give a reasonable contrast.

**Acknowledgment.** We thank Prof. Andrew S. Madden at the School of Geology and Geophysics, University of Oklahoma, for his generosity in letting us use the AFM and for the helpful discussion about data processing. We thank the National Science Foundation (DMR-0847758, CBET-0854414, CBET-0854465), National Institutes of Health (R21EB009909-01A1, R03AR056848-

(59) Corr, S. A.; Byrne, S. J.; Tekoriute, R.; Meledandri, C. J.; Brougham, D. F.; Lynch, M.; Kerskens, C.; O'Dwyer, L.; Gun'ko, Y. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 4214.

(60) Sahoo, Y.; Cheon, M.; Wang, S.; Luo, H.; Furlani, E. P.; Prasad, P. N. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 3380–3383.

(61) Lu, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Yu, L.; Dong, L.; Shi, C.; Hu, M. J.; Xu, Y. J.; Wen, L. P.; Yu, S. H. *Adv. Mater.* **2010**, *22*, 1407.

(62) Singh, H.; Laibinis, P. E.; Hatton, T. A. *Langmuir* **2005**, *21*, 11500–11509.

(63) Xia, H. B.; Yi, J. B.; Foo, P. S.; Liu, B. H. *Chem. Mater.* **2007**, *19*, 4087–4091.

(64) Srivastava, S.; Samanta, B.; Arumugam, P.; Han, G.; Rotello, V. M. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2007**, *17*, 52–55.

(65) Son, S. J.; Reichel, J.; He, B.; Schuchman, M.; Lee, S. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 7316–7317.

(66) Dobson, J. *Drug Dev. Res.* **2006**, *67*, 55–60.

(67) Arruebo, M.; Fernandez-Pacheco, R.; Ibarra, M. R.; Santamaria, J. *Nano Today* **2007**, *2*, 22–32.

(68) McBain, S. C.; Yiu, H. H. P.; Dobson, J. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2008**, *3*, 169–180.

(69) Sun, C.; Lee, J. S. H.; Zhang, M. Q. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* **2008**, *60*, 1252–1265.



01, R01HL092526-01A2), and Oklahoma Center for the Advancement of Science and Technology (HR06-161S) for financial support. R.T. acknowledges financial support from National Institutes of Health (5R21CA136642-02).

**Supporting Information Available:** Larger view of iron oxide NPCs made from CTAB emulsion; silica-coated NPCs; size

selection of CdS NPCs; Au NPCs; NPCs prepared by using PAA, PEI, and PSS as stabilizers; iron oxide nanoparticles individually dispersed in water after phase transfer. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

JA102138A